## PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2. LOCATION		12. CONCLUSIONS	
12 Apr 60	Saratoga Springs, New York		D Probably Baltoon	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP  Local	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION D Ground-Visual Air-Visual 6. SOURCE Military	D Ground-Radar  D Air-Intercept Radar	D Possibly Bolloon  D Was Aircraft D Probably Aircraft D Possibly Aircraft D Probably Astronomical D Possibly Astronomical D Possibly Astronomical	
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS	9. COURSE	I Insufficient Data for Evaluation	
2 1/2-3 min ·	one	SE	O Unknown	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING		11. COMMENTS		
Round, yellow object, larger than a star, had bright, steady glow. Continued steady arc below tree line.		Due to lack of corroborating witness this report classified as insufficient data. General description, speed and duration of sighting suggest alreaft observation. Due to dalay in receipt of report no aircraft information was obtainable through normal channels.		

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 28 SEP 52)

RECEIVED

ISARM DA DASO APR 15 04 31

ATTO

CSGA547ZCJYT285

DE RUEZKNG 2

P 1416262

FM COMPR SSSTH RATRON SACE.

TO RUWFAL/AIR PEFENSE COMMAND

RJEZSNIKE 25T APIV

RUEDEC/AIR TECHNICAL INTELLICENCE CENTER

PUEZHC/HG ·USAF (AFCIN)

RJEZHU/SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE (SAFES)

BT

/UNCLASSIFIED/FROM RAPOPS 4-37.

IN COMPILANCE WITH PAR 15, AFR 220-2 DTD 14 SEP 59,
THEFOLLOWING REPORT (UFO) IS SUBMITTED: A. PESCRIPTTION OF OBJECT. (1) ROUND. (2) LARCER THAN A STAR.

(3) YELLOW (BRIGHT GLOW). (4) ONE. (5) N/A. (6) NONE.

(7) NONE. (8) NONE. (9) STEADY GLOW. B. PESCRIPTION
OF COURSE OF OBJECT. (1) BRIGHTNESS OF GLOW. (2) 40
DEGREE ANGLE AT 270 PEGREES. (3) 15 PEGREE ANGLE AT

152 PECREES. (4) ARC ACROSS THE SKY. (5) CONTINUED STEAPY ARC-BELOW TREE LINE. (6) TWO AND ONE-HALF TO 3 MINUTES. C.

PAGE TWO RJEZKNO 2

NANNER OF CBSERVATION. (2) TREEND - VIUAL. (2)

NONE. (3) N/A. D. TIME AND DATE OF SIGNTINGS (1) 03202,

13 APRIL 62. (2) NIGHT. E. 43 PEC 26 MIN NORMH, 73 PEC

42 MIN WEST. SARATOGA SPRINGS, NEW YORK. F. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION OF COSERVER. (1) MR.

CFORMER IT/LC. UNITED STATES NAUY). APPRESS:

SARATOGA SPRINGS, NEW YORK. OCCIPATION: OFFICE MANAGER.

RELIABILITY: GOOD.; &. WEATHER AND WINDS-ALOFT CONDITIONS

MANNER OF OBSERVATION. 101) CROWN - VIUAL. (2) MONE. (3) N/A. D. TIME AND DATE OF SIGNTINGS (1), 03282, 13 APRIL 62. (2) NICHT. E. 43 DEC 22 MIN NORHH, 73 PEC 42 MIN WEST. SARATOGA SPRINGS, NEW YORK. F. ITLETIEYING INFORMATION OF OBSERVER. (1) MR. CECRMER LIVIG. UNITED STATES NAVY). ADDRESS: SARATOGA SPRINGS, NEW YORK. OCCIPATION: OFFICE MANAGER. RELIABILITY: GOOD.; &. WEATHER AND WINDS--ALOFT CONDITIONS AT TIME AND PLACE OF SIGHTING. (1) CLEAR, STARS VISIBLE, OBJECT NOT OBSTRUCTED BY CLOUDS AT ANY TIME. (2) ALT OF WINDS, VELOCITY AND TEMP, TRUE NORTH. 6282/318/488, 12222/312/45/-10, 16002/312/45/-12, 22202/322/42/-24, 32222/362/42/-45, 52262/22/3/NOT AVAILABLE, 82222/NOT AVAILABLE. (3) 5000 FT SCAT ALBANY AREA, 4500 FT SCAT GLENS FALLS NY AREA. (4) 15 MI. (5) LESS THAN FOUR TENTHS. (6) N/A. (7)48 DEG ALBANY NY. H. UNKNOWN. I. UNKNOWN. J. UNKNOWN. K. OPERATIONSOFFICE THE PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS ON THE POSSIBLE IDENTITY OF THE REPORTED SIGHTING REVEALS NO KNOWN CAUE. ONLY ONE SIGHTING WAS REPORTED TO THIS

ORANIZATION. NO INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE CONCERNING TESTS,
AIRCRAFT, OR BALLOONS RELEASES WICH MICHT EXPLAIN THE CAUSE.

BT
14/2135Z APR RJEZKNG

## UFO ANALYSIS SHELT

Location Saratoga Springs, New York
Date 13 April 1960 Hour (2) 03202
WX Scattered, 15 mi vis, winds NW at 40 to 45 knots
Description Round, larger than a star, gright yellow glow, first seen 270 de
40 deg elev, disapp 150 deg at 15 deg elev, continued steady are below tree
line, seen for 2½ to 3 minutes,
Direction of Motion SE
Satellite: (AFCIN-4F3, Phone 69219) Ruled out
Astronomical Phenomena (Meteor, Comet, Planet, etc) Ruled out
Radar Analysis (AFCIN-4E1) None
Natural Phemomena (Ball Lightning, etc) Ruled out
Aircraft, Balloons, Airships, etc. Poss an acft
Other Insufficient Data (1 witness)
Evaluation of Source Reliability Poss reliable

Analysis and Conclusions: It was unknown to the reporting official if there was any aircraft in the area of the sighting. There are airline routes over the witnesses location, therefore it is possible that he witnessed an acft flying along one of these airways. However, the presence of such ma acft is unknown. Due to the lack of such info and other coorborating witnesses, this report is evaluated as insufficient data, I witness.